28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 SCOTT JOHNSON, 8 9 v. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 /// 26 /// 27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

Plaintiff,

LANDESS INSTITUTE LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-08113-EJD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Plaintiff Scott Johnson filed the present action on November 18, 2020. Dkt. No. 1. Pursuant to General Order 56, the parties' last day to conduct a joint site inspection was January 27, 2021 and Plaintiff's last day to file a notice of need for mediation was March 10, 2021. Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff did not file a notice of need for mediation, nor did Plaintiff seek relief from the March 10, 2021 deadline.

The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua sponte "to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629–33 (1962). Plaintiff is directed to file a written response to this order by **July 26, 2021** and to appear before the Court on August 5, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. and show cause why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). If Plaintiff fails to file a written response by the above deadline, the Court will dismiss the ///

Case No.: 20-cv-08113-EJD

OSC WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Northern District of California United States District Court

action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:7/13/2021

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

Case No.: <u>20-cv-08113-EJD</u> OSC WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED